

FORDINGBRIDGE TOWN COUNCIL

**Notes in lieu of Planning Committee on Wednesday 13th May 2020 at 7.30pm
(Meeting cancelled due to Coronavirus)**

1. To report any Results on Planning Applications, Appeals, Tree Works Applications & Tree Preservation Orders made

Application 20/10196

SITE: GREENHILLS, 14 JUBILEE ROAD, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1DP
DESCRIPTION: Single-storey side extension
DECISION: Granted Subject to Conditions

Application 19/11186

SITE: 27 HIGH STREET, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1AT
DESCRIPTION: Variation of condition 2 of planning permission 18/11424 to allow new door for independent access.
To refer to a new drawing reference 4284:14A
DECISION: Granted Subject to Conditions

Application 19/10595

SITE: 27 HIGH STREET, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1AT
DESCRIPTION: Display 1 non-illuminated fascia sign; 1 non illuminated hanging sign
(Application for Advertisement Consent)
DECISION: Granted (Advert)

Application 20/10233

SITE: PARSONAGE FARM, GREEN LANE, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1JT
DESCRIPTION: Demolition of part of south wall in conjunction with single storey extension and alterations to windows in west elevation
DECISION: Granted Subject to Conditions

Application 20/10308

SITE: 17 AVON MEADE, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1QR
DESCRIPTION: Removal of existing porch; proposed front and rear extensions and replacement cladding
DECISION: Granted Subject to Conditions

Application 20/11189

SITE: LAND OF 86 WHITSBURY ROAD, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1LA
DESCRIPTION: Demolition of existing outbuildings; proposed plot separation to erect an additional dwelling with associated parking and upgraded access
DECISION: Refused

Application 20/10342

SITE: 5 THE PANTILES, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1DQ
DESCRIPTION: Erection of single storey rear extension with rooflights
DECISION: Granted Subject to Conditions

Appeal Decisions

No appeal decisions

Tree Work Decisions

Application No: TPO/20/0065

Site: 6 PEMBRIDGE ROAD, FORDINGBRIDGE, SP6 1QJ

Case Officer: Alastair Barnes

Tree Ref: T 5

Proposed Works: crown lift 3 lower limbs which are approx 2.5m from ground level

Reason for Work: overhanging bungalow roof and appears diseased

Decision Date: 24/04/2020 Decision: Refuse

2. New Planning Applications

20/10228	Land at BURGATE ACRES, SALISBURY ROAD, BURGATE, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1LX	Metis Homes Ltd
Construction of 74 dwellings, creation of new access, parking, landscaping, open space and associated works, following demolition of existing buildings		
For details of this application please click on the following link: view online here		
<p>Comments submitted 22nd April 2020</p> <p>Please note that no planning meeting was held by Fordingbridge Town Council due to the Coronavirus pandemic. Members submitted comments individually by email as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am very uneasy about the proposed entrance to and from this development. I, and many others, raised this with Metis Homes at the public consultation but our concerns have been ignored. We will have two, dead stop junctions onto a busy main road within a very short distance of each other. The alteration to the Salisbury Road junction necessary to allow the proposed entry to this development will result in long tailbacks. I think the arrangements are an accident waiting to happen. My personal view is that the proposed roundabout and connecting road should be built and all developments in the area routed to the A338 via this roundabout. I also note the matter raised by the nursery school and agree this could be a problem. 2. In principal I support the application, but at this stage my views are much in line with the comment above regarding the road access and its close proximity to Fordingbridge Nursery and so I cannot support it. 3. I agree with the comments above. I think at this stage questions should be asked about the position of houses in direct line with the day nursery. Also I can see serious issues with the entrance as my colleague has already explained very well and in depth (comment 1). 4. I oppose this application with the current road layout proposals. The removal of the current northbound slip road will cause hold-ups on Salisbury Road and make the junction much more dangerous. HCC are wrong to say there will be no impact by removing the slip road when Wiltshire Council require traffic lights on new developments in Downton. There is enough space to build an improved combined road junction outside Burgate School and Metis Homes should be providing this. 5. Overall, I think that as a plan it is quite nicely laid out and well screened. I am a little surprised at the small number of garages provided, I know not many people park their cars in them, but they are well used and often reduce debris left at the front of homes. I like the number of affordable homes and hope that they will be given due consideration and not reduced as the application proceeds. It does look as though there are considerable constraints on the suitability of infiltration suds on the site to help with drainage, I'm no expert here, but I hope that the developers take serious notice of this and the costs involved don't mean a reduction on other provisions including affordable homes. There are houses close to the nursery boundary, they appear to be side on, so as long as fencing is of a good height, 		

hopefully overlooking shouldn't be too much of a problem, but should certainly be taken into consideration. The nursery has now been there for some considerable time and should not be put in the position of worrying about the noise the children make. Prospective purchasers should be aware as nursery was there first. If they have reservations they should not purchase these properties, but how this is dealt with I'm not sure. If developers will not change layout, then there should at least be substantial hedging to shield the noise. I also have reservations regarding access.

6. I liked the application in principle but if this produces affordable housing it has my full support.

7. I agree that we should raise the Day Nursery concern, that the new dwellings are in close proximity to the children's play area. Clearly quite a sensitivity matter which we should raise. Affordable housing get a favourable comment from councillors, but we are only getting 19% (×14) Shared Ownerships dwellings.

8. I have full support for what they are trying to do.

9. I agree with others that the Access looks difficult and potentially dangerous especially in the early morning and late afternoon when the school traffic is there. The "Important boundary onto curtilages of listed buildings" If it is "Important" why doesn't the developer do something about it. A green space, hedging and trees. The access to the SANG from The Avon Valley footpath should be positioned on the very edge of development to make "Green? footpath rather than an alleyway between houses. It seems from the plan that there will not be any Green space for adoption by the local council. This would not be acceptable. The application conflicts with the Local Plan Main Modifications to the Local Plan December 2019

Strategic Site Allocations Overview SS18. The plan does not include any "Public Open Space Suitable for Mitigation? in the area covered in this application. The plan only includes Potential Public Open Space in the area covered by this application.

I contest the developers Planning Statement 8.0 Open Space. Policy CS7 sets out standards for open space provision.

- 2.0ha of Informal Open Space
- 0.2ha of Play Space
- 1.25ha of Formal Recreational space

The developer calculates this to be 0.41ha by omitting any Formal Recreational Space With Calculation of 185.7 population including this space it would be 0.65ha.

Whatever your calculation clearly an area less than the size of a football pitch is not sufficient for a population of 185 people living in small dwellings with either small gardens or no garden at all.

I do not consider this site to suitable for ANRG. It gives nothing in the way of interesting environment or views and would obviously be better suited to Open Space and a less restrictive layout, imposing on the listed building in close proximity.

20/10342	5 THE PANTILES, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1DQ	Telling
Erection of single storey rear extension with rooflights		
For details of this application please click on the following link: view online here		
Comments submitted 14 th April 2020 Fordingbridge Town Council recommend approval of this application. It is a small extension which has little impact on neighbouring properties. Please note that no planning meeting was held by Fordingbridge Town Council due to the Coronavirus pandemic. Members submitted comments by email which have been collated.		

20/10431	ST MARYS CHURCH, CHURCH STREET, FORDINGBRIDGE	Newman - The Parish of St.Mary, Fordingbridge
Re-covering of the roofs to the nave, the tower		
For details of this application please click on the following link: view online here		
To be discussed at Planning Committee meeting on 10 th June – extension granted		

20/10500	FOREST VIEW, FRYERN COURT ROAD, BURGATE, FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 1NE	Mr. Cooper
Proposed two storey side extension and balcony		
For details of this application please click on the following link: view online here		
Comments due 31 st May 2020 – have requested extension until after next planning meeting		

3. New Tree Works Applications

Case Ref: CONS/20/0160
Proposed Works: Ash x 3 Reduce
 Fir x 1 Reduce
 Platanus x 1 Reduce
 Poplar x 1 Reduce
Site Address: Parsonage House, Green Lane, Fordingbridge, SP6 1JT

4. Licensing Act 2003 applications

No licensing applications